$$\phi_n(x) = \left[\int_{-n-1}^{n+1} \beta(\xi; n, \alpha) d\xi\right]^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+n-|x|}{2|1+n-|x||}\right] \beta(x; n, \alpha),$$

and the normalizing factor $\int_{-n-1}^{n+1} \beta(\xi; n, \alpha) d\xi$ is tabulated to 5D for $n = 0, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, \infty$.

Two computer plots are also included: one of $\beta(x; n, \pi/4)$ for the tabular arguments; the other of $\beta(x; 0, \alpha)$ for $\alpha/\pi = 0.05(0.05)0.25$ and $-1 \le x \le 3$.

37 [9].—M. LAL, C. ELDRIDGE & P. GILLARD, Solutions of $\sigma(n) = \sigma(n + k)$, Memorial University of Newfoundland, May 1972. Plastic bound set of 88 computer sheets (unnumbered) deposited in the UMT file.

The function $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of all positive divisors of *n*. Table 2 contains 50 separate tables. The *k*th of these gives all $n \leq 10^5$ such that

(1) $\sigma(n) = \sigma(n + k)$.

Also listed here are n + k and $\sigma(n)$.

Table 1 gives the number of solutions above for each k. Thus, k = 1 has 24 solutions, the first being n = 14 and the last being n = 92685.

An earlier table, apparently unpublished, was by John L. Hunsucker, Jack Nebb, and Robert E. Stearns, Jr. of the University of Georgia. This larger table listed all 113 solutions for k = 1 and $n \le 10^7$. Their last is n = 9693818. They had the same 24 solutions $< 10^5$. They also computed (1) for all $1 \le k \le 5000$ and $n + k \le 2 \cdot 10^5$, and so should include everything here deposited. I have not seen this larger table.

In their larger range of *n* there are still only two solutions for k = 15: n = 26 and n = 62. Won't someone please prove that there are only two? Or are there others?

D. S.

38 [9].—SOL WEINTRAUB, Four Tables Concerning the Distribution of Primes, 23 pages of computer output deposited in the UMT file, 1972.

Tables 2, 2A and 2B (6 pages each) are very similar to Weintraub's earlier [1]. See that review for the definitions of GAPS, PAIRS, ACTUAL, and THEORY. For the same variable k = 2(2)600, Table 2 lists these four quantities for the 11078937 primes in $0 ; Table 2A for the (unstated number of) primes in <math>10^{16} ; and Table 2B for the 255085 primes in <math>10^{17} . Nothing extraordinary occurs in these tables that requires special mention. The largest gap here is a case of <math>k = 432$ in Table 2A. ACTUAL and THEORY agree very well, as expected.

Table A (5 pages) covers the same range as Table 2 does. For n = 1(1)200 it first lists

676